

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/791,858	NARUMI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Julie Anne Watko	2627	

All Participants:
Status of Application: notice of appeal

 (1) Paul Skwierawski (Reg. No. 32173).

(3) _____.

 (2) Primary Examiner Julie Anne Watko.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 April 2006
Time: 4:42PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner informed the attorney that the form of the second amendment after final was not in compliance with rule 37 CFR 1.121(c)(2). The marked-up claims are not shown relative to the immediate prior version of the claims, because the first amendment after final had not been entered. Furthermore, Applicant's amendments to the specification, filed 01/05/2006, were not entered. The attorney authorized the Examiner to amend the claims and specification in accordance with Applicant's clear intent.